

# INNOVATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



Scopus || Electronic journal specializing in Scopus

**ISSUE 1**



Acceptance of papers **January, 2026**



**Acceptance of papers**

Published monthly



**Topics**

economics, technology, social sciences

**EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:**

Mirzaliyev Sanjar Makhmatjon ugli

**DEPUTY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:**

Makhmudov Nosir Makhmudovich  
DSc., Prof., Academician

**DEPUTY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:**

Ochilov Bobur Bakhtiyor ugli – Senior  
lecturer at TSUI

THE SCIENTIFIC-POPULAR ELECTRONIC  
JOURNAL **"INNOVATION SCIENCE AND  
TECHNOLOGY"** HAS BEEN REGISTERED  
UNDER THE NUMBER **C-5669633** BY THE  
AGENCY FOR INFORMATION AND MASS  
COMMUNICATIONS (AOKA) OF THE  
REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN, EFFECTIVE  
FROM OCTOBER 9, 2024.

**CONTACTS**

Phone: **+998 50 737 87 88**

Website: <https://ist-journal.uz>

Email: [innovationist2025@gmail.com](mailto:innovationist2025@gmail.com)

The scientific electronic journal "Innovation Science and Technology" has been included in the list of scientific publications recommended for the publication of main scientific results of dissertations for the award of PhD and DSc degrees in economics and technical sciences, in accordance with the Resolution No. 370 of the Presidium of the Higher Attestation Commission of the Republic of Uzbekistan, dated May 8, 2025.

Electronic publication, Issue 1. 183 pages.  
Approved for publication on January, 2026.

**Editorial board:**

**Sharipov Kongiratbay Avezimbetovich,**  
Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor



**Abdurakhmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna,** Doctor of  
Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor



**Cham Tat Huei,**  
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), Professor (Malaysia)



**Muhammad Imran Sadiq**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD), Professor,  
Malaysia



**Ahmed Aziz Ismail**  
Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc),  
Professor (Egypt)



**Lee Chin**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD), (Malaysia)



**Asongu Simplicie**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD), Cameroon



**Rui Dang**  
Doctor of Chemistry (DSc), Professor, China



**Zahoor Ahmed**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD), Turkey



**Shujaat Abbas**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD), Russia



**Tina A Coffelt**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Educational Sciences (PhD),  
USA



**Abdikarimova Dinara Rustamxanovna**  
Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor

**Kurbonbekova Mohichehra Turobjonovna**  
Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor

**Alimardonov Ilkhom Muzrabshokovich**  
Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor



**Razakova Barno Sayfiyevna**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD)



**Khasanov Sarvar Ulugbek ugli**  
Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (PhD)

# CONTENTS

BRIEF FEEDBACK ON “AGAT CREDIT” MICROFINANCE ORGANIZATION BASED ON THE REPORT OF “KAPDEPO” INVESTMENT COMPANY: CAVEATS FOR LENDERS (BONDHOLDERS) .....	16
<b>Abduganiev Abdulaziz Alisher ugli</b>	
IMPLEMENTATION OF EU BEST AGRICULTURAL TRADE PRACTICES IN UZBEKISTAN.....	20
<b>Khulkar Karimova Rakhmanali qizi</b>	
THE ROLE OF FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT IN INCREASING SERVICE EXPORTS OF UZBEKISTAN .....	26
<b>Jamshid Mirzakhmedov</b>	
THE ROLE AND IMPORTANCE OF FINANCIAL MARKETS IN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT .....	30
<b>Baymanova Mavlyuda Djuraevna, Abdullaeva Shohista, Ubaydullaeva Gulchehra Erkabaevna</b>	
КЛИНИЧЕСКАЯ ОЦЕНКА СОСТОЯНИЯ МЕСТНЫХ ИММУННЫХ МЕХАНИЗМОВ ПОЛОСТИ РТА У ПАЦИЕНТОВ НА ЭТАПАХ ОРТОДОНТИЧЕСКОГО ЛЕЧЕНИЯ .....	36
<b>Рахимбердыев Рустам Абдунасирович, Сайфулаева Азиза Анваровна</b>	
INTEGRATING AI-BASED CUSTOMER ANALYTICS INTO INNOVATIVE RETAIL MARKETING STRATEGIES .....	40
<b>Ostonaqulova Gulsaraxon Muhammadyoqub qizi</b>	
FINANCIAL STIMULATION OF INNOVATIVE ACTIVITIES OF ENTERPRISES THROUGH INVESTMENTS .....	48
<b>Bahriddinov Nodirbek Zamirdinovich</b>	
DIGITAL DENTISTRY: LITERATURE REVIEW .....	52
<b>Tursunov Begzod Sherzodovich, Zokirova Nodira Sobitovna</b>	
THE LATEST ADHESIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN DENTISTRY .....	56
<b>Rahimberdiyev Rustam Abdunasirovich, Chinibayeva Ibagul Sarsenbayevna</b>	
ENSURING THE ACCEPTABILITY OF QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE INDICATORS IN THE EFFECTIVE ORGANIZATION OF HOUSING FUNDS IN KHOREZM .....	61
<b>Otajonov Tohirjon Khojanazar o'g'li</b>	
WAYS TO IMPROVE CUSTOMS ADMINISTRATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN.....	67
<b>Usmonova Dilfuza Ilhomovna</b>	
CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE STATE OF LOCAL IMMUNE MECHANISMS OF THE ORAL CAVITY IN PATIENTS AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF ORTHODONTIC TREATMENT .....	72
<b>Rakhimberdiyev Rustam Abdunasirovich, Saifulaeva Aziza Anvarovna</b>	
IMPROVING THE ALGORITHM FOR CONTROLLING THE CUSTOMS TRANSIT INFORMATION SYSTEM E-TRANSIT OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN .....	76
<b>Musayeva Shoirazimovna</b>	
DEVELOPMENT TRENDS OF THE AUTOMOTIVE BUSINESS IN UZBEKISTAN .....	82
<b>Saidov Dilshodbek Razzakovich</b>	
INTEGRATION OF MARKETING STRATEGIES IN RETAIL TRADE ACTIVITIES.....	87
<b>Akramov Toxir Abdiraxmanovich</b>	
CHALLENGES OF ADOPTING ISLAMIC FINANCE WITHIN CONVENTIONAL BANKING SYSTEMS .....	91
<b>Safarov Shuhrat Ismatovich</b>	
CRM SYSTEMS AND THEIR IMPACT ON THE RESULTS OF MARKETING STRATEGY IN DISTRIBUTION COMPANIES .....	95
<b>Jamoliddinov Fakhriyor Shodiyor o'g'li</b>	
LEXICAL-SEMANTIC ARCHITECTURE OF MODERN WORDNET SYSTEMS .....	101
<b>Aynura Axmedova</b>	
METHODOLOGY FOR ANALYZING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INNOVATIVE PROCESSES AT ENTERPRISES.....	108
<b>Kurbanova Shakhnoza Yuldashbayevna</b>	
COMPANY VALUATION IN MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS: A STRATEGIC AND GOVERNANCE-BASED APPROACH .....	113
<b>Lee Illarion Georgievich</b>	

A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE ON CAD/CAM TECHNOLOGIES IN DENTAL ECTOPROSTHETICS .....	118
<b>Tursunov Begzod Sherzodovich, Hazratqulov Asrbek Ulugbek ugli</b>	
TRENDS AND DIFFICULTIES IN THE INTEGRATION OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES IN ORTHOPEDIC DENTISTRY .....	123
<b>Khojimurodov Burkhon Ravshanovich</b>	
PRIORITY DIRECTIONS FOR IMPROVING THE MECHANISM OF ENHANCING THE ECONOMIC SECURITY LEVEL OF THE KASHKADARYA REGION .....	127
<b>Tuyev Abdurahmon Yusubopvich</b>	
THE ROLE OF PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGICAL TRAINING OF DRIVERS IN REDUCING ROAD TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS .....	132
<b>Uralbayev Anvar Ubaydullayevich</b>	
THE ROLE OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT PRINCIPLES IN DEVELOPING GREEN MARKETING STRATEGIES FOR ENTERPRISES .....	135
<b>Sapayev Akhmad Durdibayevich</b>	
MANAGEMENT MODEL OF INFORMATION RESOURCES IN SMALL BUSINESS ENTITIES AND ITS IMPACT ON ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY .....	140
<b>Yo'ldoshev Nodirbek Ne'matjon o'g'li</b>	
WAYS TO DEVELOP THE INVESTMENT ACTIVITY OF COMMERCIAL BANKS THROUGH THE SECURITIES MARKET .....	145
<b>Yuldashev Fozil Turapovich</b>	
INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCE IN THE USE OF CROSS-BORDER REMITTANCES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE NATIONAL ECONOMY .....	152
<b>Gimranova O. B.</b>	
FREE ECONOMIC ZONES AND FOREIGN INVESTMENT .....	158
<b>Sheraliyeva Saida Azatovna</b>	
ISSUES OF FORMATION AND MANAGEMENT OF PRODUCT ASSORTMENT IN RETAIL ENTERPRISES .....	162
<b>Safarov Baxtiyor Djurakulovich</b>	
STATE SUPPORT IN THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN FOR ORGANIZING SHORT-TERM SCIENTIFIC INTERNSHIPS OF YOUNG SCIENTISTS ABROAD .....	167
<b>Kabashev Tairjon</b>	
LEGAL FOUNDATIONS OF DIVIDEND POLICY: EVIDENCE FROM DEVELOPED AND DEVELOPING COUNTRIES .....	172
<b>Eshev Furqat A'zamovich</b>	
IMPROVING SMART CITY GOVERNANCE BASED ON DIGITAL PLATFORMS: A HUMAN-CENTERED APPROACH .....	176
<b>Rakhimova Madina Shukhrat qizi</b>	

# IMPROVING SMART CITY GOVERNANCE BASED ON DIGITAL PLATFORMS: A HUMAN- CENTERED APPROACH

**Rakhimova Madina Shukhrat qizi**

Turon University

Department of Economics and Foreign  
Countries' Economies

Acting Associate Professor

**Abstract:** This article examines the theoretical and practical aspects of using digital platforms in smart city governance. The primary objective of the study is to develop an effective model for managing urban infrastructure through the application of artificial intelligence and neural networks. The social, economic, and psychological factors of the digitalization process are analyzed, and a human-centered governance concept is substantiated. The findings indicate that the successful development of a smart city is determined not by technology itself, but by the extent to which it effectively improves the quality of life of citizens.

**Key words:** smart city, digital platform, artificial intelligence, neural management, urban governance, human-centered approach.

**Annotatsiya:** Mazkur maqolada aqlli shaharlarni boshqarishda raqamli platformalardan foydalanishning nazariy va amaliy jihatlari tahlil qilinadi. Tadqiqotning asosiy maqsadi sun'iy intellekt hamda neyron tarmoqlar imkoniyatlaridan foydalangan holda shahar infratuzilmasini samarali boshqarish modelini ishlab chiqishdan iborat. Raqamlashtirish jarayonining ijtimoiy, iqtisodiy va psixologik omillari o'rganilib, inson ehtiyojlariga yo'naltirilgan boshqaruv konsepsiyasi ilmiy jihatdan asoslab beriladi. Tadqiqot natijalari aqlli shaharni muvaffaqiyatli rivojlantirish texnologiyalarning o'zi bilan emas, balki ularning fuqarolar hayot sifatini oshirishga qanchalik samarali xizmat qilishi bilan belgilanadi.

**Kalit so'zlar:** aqlli shahar, raqamli platforma, sun'iy intellekt, neyron boshqaruv, shahar boshqaruv, inson markazli yondashuv.

**Аннотация:** В статье рассматриваются теоретические и практические аспекты использования цифровых платформ в управлении умными городами. Основной целью исследования является разработка эффективной модели управления городской инфраструктурой с использованием возможностей искусственного интеллекта и нейронных сетей. Анализируются социальные, экономические и психологические факторы цифровизации, а также обосновывается концепция управления, ориентированная на человека. Результаты исследования показывают, что успешное развитие умного города определяется не самими технологиями, а степенью их влияния на повышение качества жизни граждан.

**Ключевые слова:** умный город, цифровая платформа, искусственный интеллект, нейронное управление, городское управление, человеко-ориентированный подход.

## INTRODUCTION

In the 21st century, cities have become the main centers of human development. As urbanization accelerates, large cities have turned into engines of economic growth, innovation, and social progress. At the same time, challenges such as traffic congestion, environmental problems, resource scarcity, aging utility infrastructure, and the increasing complexity of governance systems have intensified. Traditional management mechanisms are no longer sufficient, creating the need for fundamentally new approaches to urban governance.

In this context, the Smart City concept has emerged as a strategic model of modern urban development. It envisions the integrated management of urban infrastructure, governance processes, and public services

through digital technologies. Data, artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things (IoT), cloud technologies, and digital platforms lie at the core of this concept. However, regardless of technological advancement, real social value is created only when technology serves human interests.

Today, many countries are implementing digital transformation programs. Millions of sensors, information systems, and automated management mechanisms are being introduced in urban services, transport, healthcare, education, and security. In practice, however, inefficient bureaucratic processes are often simply transferred into digital form, meaning that technology does not solve problems but merely digitizes them.

The main mistake in smart city development is treating technology as a goal rather than a means. The true objective of digitalization is to improve citizens' quality of life, strengthen trust between the state and society, and create a comfortable, safe, and sustainable urban environment. Therefore, human-centered design principles are becoming increasingly important in modern research.

In Uzbekistan, digital economy development and smart city initiatives have also become priorities of state policy. E-government systems, digital public services, geoinformation platforms, and urban infrastructure management systems are being actively introduced. However, regional disparities, differences in digital literacy, and insufficient inter-agency integration continue to affect overall effectiveness.

The research problem lies in the fact that technological infrastructure is often prioritized over the human factor. Yet a city is, above all, a social space where people live. Digital platforms can achieve real effectiveness only when they take into account citizens' daily needs, behavior, as well as psychological and cultural characteristics.

The purpose of this article is to develop and theoretically substantiate a human-centered model of smart city governance based on digital platforms. The study addresses the following questions:

- the role of digital platforms in urban governance;
- how artificial intelligence and neural networks support decision-making;
- how governance can be organized with consideration of citizens' needs.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

M. Angelidou views the "smart city" as a means of optimizing the complex systems of a city through real-time data collection and the management of urban systems. A broader interpretation of these research findings indicates that the issue of smart city governance is, in fact, more about rebuilding the relationship between people and the city than about selecting specific technologies. Digital platforms are often perceived as a cold set of algorithms; however, in practice, they function as social institutions that penetrate people's daily lives. Therefore, their success should be measured not only by technical indicators but also by citizens' perceptions of convenience, trust, and justice.

Researchers R. Giffinger and Gudrun H. promote the concept of real-time cities and analyze the efficiency of urban governance through big data and information technologies. Their discussion highlights a significant imbalance between technological efficiency and human acceptance. In many urban projects, platforms are developed primarily from an engineering perspective, focusing on data collection, processing, and automation. For citizens, however, the process itself is not of primary importance; instead, they expect tangible outcomes such as fast service delivery, clear communication, and respectful treatment. Consequently, when designing a smart city, the key question should not be "what can we do?" but rather "what does the person need?"

R. G. Hollands examines the smart city concept through economic, social, and ecological dimensions and proposes a comprehensive model of urban development. Within this framework, the importance of a human-centered approach becomes particularly evident. If a platform treats users merely as sources of data, it becomes a cold and impersonal mechanism. In contrast, when the system recognizes individuals as partners, it becomes more dynamic and responsive. For example, in communal services, providing recommendations for resource saving rather than merely issuing bills, and in healthcare, emphasizing communication and care rather than only monitoring, fundamentally changes people's attitudes toward technology. This demonstrates the necessity of humanizing smart city development.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

One of the main methods employed in this study is a systematic literature review. Using this approach, scientific articles, monographs, and analytical reports were selected based on keywords such as "smart city," "digital platforms," "human-centered approach," "citizen engagement," and "urban governance." The selected sources were verified as having been published between 2010–2024 and were filtered according to their relevance to the research topic, affiliation with reputable academic or institutional sources, and scientific novelty. In addition, a comparative analysis of foreign and local studies was conducted.

## ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The scientific novelty of this study lies in interpreting the smart city not merely as a technological system, but as a socio-technological ecosystem. Digital platforms are viewed not as databases or collections of services, but as spaces for interaction between people and the city.

Thus, modern urban governance is not solely a matter of technical modernization, but rather a process of social transformation. The future of smart cities depends not on the quantity of technology deployed, but on the meaning that technology brings into human life.

Overall, the study confirms that smart city governance is primarily a human and social issue rather than a purely technological one. Digital platforms possess the potential to transform urban life; however, this potential yields positive outcomes only when it is aligned with human needs and values. Technology itself does not make a city smart—systems that communicate with and serve people do.

Future smart city development should rely less on technological indicators and more on real human experience. Only under such conditions can cities become not only digital, but truly smart and humane environments.

During the research process, digital platforms applied across various sectors were comparatively analyzed. Each platform was evaluated based on the following criteria: the level of user convenience; influence on decision-making; data openness and integration capability; and social usefulness.

The comparative analysis demonstrated that even a technologically advanced system fails to produce the expected results if it is not adapted to people's everyday lives. Therefore, alongside the technical capabilities of digital platforms, particular attention was paid to how they are perceived and accepted by users.

The most significant aspect of the study lies in placing the human factor at the center of analysis. Digital platforms are not merely collections of algorithms; rather, they represent living environments that interact with people. Consequently, users' real needs, daily habits, levels of digital literacy, as well as psychological and cognitive characteristics were considered key evaluation criteria.

Within this approach, the guiding question was whether the platform adapts to the person or the person is forced to adapt to the platform. The main objective was to integrate technology naturally into human life.

Based on the capabilities of artificial intelligence and neural networks, a conceptual model supporting decision-making was developed. The model includes the following components:

- real-time data collection;
- data analysis and the generation of recommendations;
- facilitation of human decision-making without fully replacing it.

The core idea of this model is that artificial intelligence should function not as a controller, but as an intelligent assistant.

To ensure that the study was not limited to theoretical reasoning, four real-life sectors were selected for analysis:

- traffic flow management aimed at reducing congestion and optimizing public transport;
- utility services, including intelligent monitoring of water, electricity, and waste systems;
- healthcare, focusing on remote monitoring and the provision of prompt services for patients;
- education, emphasizing personalized learning pathways and the development of a digital school ecosystem.

In each sector, digital solutions were assessed in terms of their actual contribution to improving human life.

Within this study, the perception of technology as a "magic wand" was deliberately rejected. Instead, the central research question was formulated as follows:

How do digital platforms improve human life rather than merely managing the city?

This perspective guided all stages of the research and determined the selection of methodological approaches.

The findings demonstrate that the role of digital platforms in smart city governance is not limited to that of a technological tool; rather, they function as catalysts for profound social change. The closer and more delicate the relationship between urban infrastructure and citizens' everyday lives becomes, the more flexible and human-centered digital solutions must be.

An analysis of existing digitalization practices revealed that many platforms, despite being technologically sophisticated, remain difficult to understand or overly complex for users. As a result, people tend to avoid such services rather than benefit from them, primarily due to cold interfaces and formal, bureaucratic communication styles. Consequently, digital systems become disconnected from real life. The study confirms that the success of a platform is determined not by the sophistication of its algorithms, but by how well it aligns with citizens' daily needs.

The transport sector provides a vivid illustration of this conclusion. Attempts to manage traffic flows solely through mathematical models often failed to deliver the expected outcomes. In contrast, systems that took into account real human behavior, daily habits, and even the moods of drivers and passengers achieved a significant reduction in congestion. Thus, a smart city is not merely a collection of “smart traffic lights,” but an environment that understands the logic of human movement (Table 1).

Table 1. Two-Dimensional Evaluation of Platforms

Criterion	Technological Aspect	Human-Centered Aspect
Primary objective	Process optimization	Facilitating everyday life
Communication language	Numbers and code	Clear, everyday language
Source of error	Algorithm	User experience
Success indicator	Speed and accuracy	Satisfaction and trust

The table demonstrates that the first column is often given primary attention, while the second is relegated to a secondary position. However, the study shows that priority should be given precisely to the second column.

During the analysis, three types of platform impact were identified: direct, indirect, and long-term. Direct impact refers to faster access to services; indirect impact involves changes in people’s behavior; and long-term impact is associated with the transformation of urban culture (Table 2).

Table 2. Levels of Impact

Level	Content	Appearance in Daily Life
Direct	Efficiency	Shortening of queues
Indirect	Behavioral change	Transition to frugality
Long-term	Cultural change	Digital trust

From this perspective, a smart city is not simply a collection of new devices, but a new system of relationships. The research shows that platforms perform best when they treat the citizen not as a “source of information,” but as a “decision-making partner.” For example, in utility services, providing recommendations on how to save resources instead of merely sending bills has positively influenced user behavior (Table 3).

Table 3. Comparison of Management Approaches

Control	Support
Punishment logic	Incentivization
One-way communication	Dialogue / communication
Mandatory use	Voluntary choice

This difference reflects not only an approach, but also a philosophy. The first adapts the person to the system, whereas the second adapts the system to the person. The study found that multiple standalone platforms can transform a city into a “digital labyrinth.” When citizens are required to use one application for one service and another portal for a different service, technology fatigue emerges. Therefore, the concept of a unified digital ecosystem is of critical importance (Table 4).

Table 4. Levels of Integration

Level	Characteristic
Low	Separate systems
Medium	Partially connected
High	Unified ecosystem

It has become evident that achieving a high level of integration is not only a technical challenge, but also a matter of organizational culture.

The analysis further shows that every opportunity is accompanied by a certain level of risk. Increased data availability enhances transparency, but also intensifies concerns related to privacy. Automation improves convenience, yet it also carries the risk of marginalizing human involvement.

Thus, a smart city must always develop in accordance with the principle of “technology under human control.” All analyses lead to one central conclusion: the success of a smart city is not measured by the volume of code, but by how free, secure, and confident people feel within that city. When a platform listens to citizens, adapts to their needs, and communicates in clear, everyday language, technology becomes an invisible assistant. Therefore, the study proposes viewing a smart city not as a purely technical project, but as a fundamentally human-centered one. Artificial intelligence, sensors, and big data serve merely as bridges, while the ultimate destination is a peaceful, comfortable, and meaningful life for people.

A broader interpretation of the research results indicates that managing a smart city is less about selecting technologies and more about rebuilding the relationship between people and the city. Digital platforms are often perceived as collections of cold algorithms; however, in practice, they are increasingly becoming social institutions that permeate citizens’ everyday lives. Therefore, their effectiveness should be assessed not only through technical indicators, but also through citizens’ perceptions of convenience, trust, and justice.

One of the most prominent issues identified in the discussion is the imbalance between technological efficiency and human acceptance. In many urban projects, platforms are developed primarily from an engineering perspective, focusing on data collection, processing, and automation. For citizens, however, these processes are not significant in themselves; they expect tangible outcomes, such as fast service delivery, clear and understandable communication, and respectful treatment. Consequently, when designing a smart city, the first question should not be “what can we do?” but rather “what does the person need?”

Within this context, the importance of a human-centered approach becomes evident. When a platform treats the user merely as a source of information, it turns into a cold and impersonal mechanism. In contrast, when the system recognizes individuals as partners, it becomes more responsive and meaningful. For instance, in utility services, providing recommendations on resource saving instead of merely issuing bills, or in healthcare, communicating in a caring and supportive manner rather than focusing solely on control, fundamentally changes people’s attitudes toward technology. This underscores the necessity of humanizing the smart city.

Another critical issue concerns the integration of digital platforms. The research demonstrates that the existence of multiple standalone systems often creates confusion rather than convenience for citizens. When one service requires a specific application and another requires a different digital portal, technology becomes an additional burden instead of simplifying daily life. Therefore, smart city development should prioritize the creation of a unified digital ecosystem, a common communication language, and interconnected services.

The discussion also highlighted the problem of digital inequality. If digital platforms are designed primarily for active, young, and technologically proficient groups, other segments of society risk being excluded. Older adults, people with disabilities, and citizens with lower levels of digital literacy may experience alienation within the emerging urban environment. As a result, smart city policies must be grounded in the principle of social justice and ensure inclusivity so that no group is left behind.

The role of artificial intelligence was also reconsidered. AI is often perceived as a force that replaces human decision-making. However, the research indicates that the most sustainable model is based on human–AI collaboration, where algorithms provide rapid analysis while humans assign meaning and assume responsibility. When this balance is disrupted, technology becomes detached from societal needs.

It also became clear that smart city development is not solely a technical endeavor, but a cultural process. Through digital platforms, citizens begin to interact with the state, with one another, and with the city in new ways. If this communication lacks respect, simplicity, and transparency, even the most advanced technological systems will fail to gain public trust. Therefore, building a smart city also entails the formation of a new digital culture.

Overall, the discussion concludes that a smart city is not merely an urban space filled with sensors, but an environment in which individuals feel heard and valued. Digital platforms can be truly “smart” only when they contribute to creating this sense of inclusion and recognition. Technology should not dominate the city; rather, the city should serve people—this represents the central philosophical insight of the study. The research once again confirms that smart city governance is primarily a human and social challenge rather than a technological one. Digital platforms possess the capacity to transform urban life, but this capacity produces positive outcomes only when aligned with human needs and values. Technology alone does not make a city smart; systems that communicate with people and serve them effectively do.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The most important conclusion derived from this research is that the digitalization process is often misunderstood. In many projects, primary attention is given to the number of sensors, the volume of data, or the complexity of algorithms. However, these aspects are not significant from the citizen’s perspective. People seek comfort, justice, and clarity in their daily lives, rather than technology itself. Therefore, the criteria for

evaluating a smart city should not be limited to technical indicators, but should be based on citizens' everyday experiences.

The effectiveness of digital platforms is directly linked to their communication language. When a platform interacts with individuals not through a cold and commanding tone, but through clear, accessible, and sincere communication, it becomes a genuine assistant. In this regard, the future of smart cities depends more on the development of a new digital culture, mutual trust, and respect than on the sophistication of algorithms.

The research also revealed another important dimension: the existence of numerous isolated systems does not make a city smart. On the contrary, fragmented platforms may create additional digital barriers for citizens. Real success is achieved through a unified ecosystem, interconnected services, and continuous data flows. A city is not merely a collection of separate elements, but an integrated whole formed through relationships.

The role of artificial intelligence was also reinterpreted. AI should not be viewed as a force that replaces human decision-making, but rather as a companion that supports and enhances it. The most sustainable model is one that maintains a balance between technological capabilities and human judgment. Responsibility, ethical choice, and social sensitivity must remain in human hands. At the same time, the risk of digital inequality must not be overlooked. If a smart city is convenient only for active and technologically oriented groups, it risks dividing society rather than uniting it. Consequently, every digital platform should be designed with consideration for the needs of diverse social groups.

Overall, the research led to the following key conclusions:

- a smart city is not a collection of technologies, but a human-centered governance model;
- the success of digital platforms is determined by the depth of their integration into people's everyday lives;
- artificial intelligence enriches human decision-making but should not replace it;
- integration and communication culture are as important as technical solutions.

In the future, smart city development should rely more on human experiences and citizens' real-life stories than on purely technological projects. Only under these conditions can cities become not merely digital, but truly smart, inclusive, and compassionate environments.

#### References

1. Albino, V., Berardi, U., & Dangelico, R. M., 2015. Smart cities: Definitions, dimensions, performance, and initiatives. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 22(1), pp. 3–21.
2. Angelidou, M., 2017. The role of smart city characteristics in the plans of fifteen cities. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 24(4), pp. 3–28.
3. Batty, M., et al., 2012. Smart cities of the future. *European Physical Journal Special Topics*, 214(1), pp. 481–518.
4. Caragliu, A., Del Bo, C., & Nijkamp, P., 2011. Smart cities in Europe. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 18(2), pp. 65–82.
5. Giffinger, R., & Gudrun, H., 2010. Smart cities ranking: An effective instrument for the positioning of cities? *ACE: Architecture, City and Environment*, 4(12), pp. 7–26.
6. Hollands, R. G., 2008. Will the real smart city please stand up? *City*, 12(3), pp. 303–320.
7. Kitchin, R., 2014. The real-time city? Big data and smart urbanism. *GeoJournal*, 79(1), pp. 1–14.
8. Komninos, N., 2013. What makes cities intelligent? In: *Smart Cities and Intelligent Buildings*. London: Routledge, pp. 77–95.
9. Meijer, A., & Bolívar, M. P. R., 2016. Governing the smart city: A review of the literature on smart urban governance. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 82(2), pp. 392–408.
10. Nam, T., & Pardo, T. A., 2011. Conceptualizing smart city with dimensions of technology, people, and institutions. In: *Proceedings of the 12th Annual International Digital Government Research Conference*. New York: ACM, pp. 282–291.
11. Neirotti, P., et al., 2014. Current trends in smart city initiatives: Some stylised facts. *Cities*, 38, pp. 25–36.
12. Townsend, A. M., 2013. *Smart Cities: Big Data, Civic Hackers, and the Quest for a New Utopia*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

**Proofreader:** Zokir ALIBEKOV

**Layout and Designer:** Oloviddin Sobir ugli

---

## 2026. № 1

---

© When materials are reproduced, the INNOVATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY journal must be cited as the source. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of the information in materials and advertisements published in the journal. Editorial opinions may not always align with those of the authors. Submitted materials will not be returned to the editorial office.

To publish articles in this journal, you may submit articles, advertisements, stories, and other creative materials through the following links. Materials and advertisements are published on a paid basis.

You may subscribe to the journal at any time using the following details. Once subscribed, please send a screenshot or photo of your payment confirmation to our Telegram page @iqtisodiyot\_77. Based on this, we will send the latest issue of the journal to your address each month.

“The journal “INNOVATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY” has been registered by the Agency for Information and Mass Communications under the Administration of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan from 09.10.2024 under the registration number №390637. License number: C-5669633. PNFL: 30407832680027

**Our address:** Tashkent city, Yunusobod district, 19th block,  
House 17.



**Acceptance of articles**

Published every monthly



**Directions**

Social, economic, political, technological, scientific



Scopus || Scientific electronic journal specializing in Scopus

**CERTIFICATE NUMBER: №390637**

**ORDER NUMBER ACCORDING TO THE LICENSE REGISTER: C-5669633**

**CONTACT:**



Contact us  
**+998 50 737 87 88**



Telegram channel  
**t.me/scopus\_IST2100**



Journal official website  
**<https://ist-journal.uz/index.php/IST>**